

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/524,432	09/09/2005	Stephen I. Madden	003482.00020	6126
22907 BANNER & V	7590 06/12/200 VITCOFF, LTD.	EXAMINER		
1100 13th STR		WEGERT, SANDRA L		
SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20005-4051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	.,		1647	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/12/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

# Office Action Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/524,432	MADDEN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SANDRA WEGERT	1647	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS,

- Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	PHEVER IS LONGER, FROM I HE MAILING DALE ( shosts of time may be available under the provisions of 3 CFR 1.136(a). I SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication,  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period via  period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period via  reply within the set or adended period for reply will by statute, cause  reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date o  did patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	n no event, however, may a reply be timely filed  y and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 March	2009.			
2a)⊠	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	te Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispositi	ion of Claims				
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-67 is/are pending in the application.				
	4a) Of the above claim(s) 14-67 is/are withdrawn from consideration.				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are rejected.				
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)∟	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or elec	tion requirement.			
Applicati	ion Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawin Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priori	ity under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:	the same and the district of the same and th			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents hav				
<ul> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No.</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage</li> </ul>					
	application from the International Bureau (PC	-			
* 8	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	,			
Attachmen	t(s)				
1) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)			
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (FTO/S5/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Date 51 Notice of Informal Patent Application			
	r No(s)/Mail Date 3/26/09.	6) Other:			
S. Patent and T.	radamark Office				

Art Unit: 1647

### Detailed Action

## Status of Application, Amendments, and/or Claims

The Amendments and Remarks, sent 26 March 2009 have been entered into the record.

Claim 1 is amended. Claims 14-67 remain withdrawn. Claims 1-13 are under examination in the Instant Application.

#### Maintained/New Objections and Rejections

# Claim Rejections- 35 USC § 102

The following are quotations of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The rejection of claims 1, 2 and 5-13 under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) for being anticipated by Lal, et al (2001, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 93: 1337-1343) is *maintained*. Claims 1, 2 and 5-13 recite a method to aid in diagnosing glioma by detecting expression of "translocon-associated protein delta" (SEQ ID NO: 33). Lal, et al, also uses this gene and gene product to identify human glioblastoma (also called "glioma"). Lal, et al found a 2.3x increase in expression of translocon-associated protein delta by PCR and attribute the gene's expression to the hypoxia that is present

in most solid tumors, suggesting that translocon-associated protein delta is a gene involved in the tumor's response to the lack of oxygen found in the center of solid tumors.

Applicants argue that "Lal et al., compares gene expression of a glioblastoma cell line under two types of conditions; hypoxic and normal oxygen levels" (Remarks, 26 March 2009, p. 8), contending that the method disclosed in Lal, et al is therefore different than the method recited in applicants" claims.

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

While it is true that the researchers in the Lal, et al reference focused their attention on genes expressed by tumors under hypoxic conditions, such as VEGF and translocon-associated protein delta, they did compare expression levels of the genes of interest to normal glial cells (see "NHA" or normal human astrocytes as the first column in Figure 2, B), meeting the limitations of the instant claims. In addition, the ratios expressed in Table 1 are derived from comparing the hypoxic gene transcripts to normal transcripts (see the footnote "\$" under Table 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, first paragraph - enablement,

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

The rejection of claims 3 and 4 under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, for containing subject matter which is not enabled by the specification, is maintained. Applicants have not Application/Control Number: 10/524,432

Art Unit: 1647

demonstrated that the ratios of expression between normal tissue and tumor tissue can reach 5 fold or ten fold, and that level of expression is not found in the literature. For example, Lal, et al, (2001, J. Natl. Cancer Inst., 93: 1337-1343, of record) described an expression ratio of approximately 2.3 times normal (as discussed above).

Applicants argue that Lal et al. teach a ratio based upon a comparison between two types of cancerous tissue: hypoxic and normal oxygen levels (Remarks, 26 March 2009, p. 9).

Applicants' arguments have been fully considered but they are not persuasive for the following reasons:

For the reasons outlined above, the comparison between expression in normal astrocytes and glioblastoma in Lal, et al is a valid comparison, and is the same method as that claimed in the instant application. Therefore, Lal, et al, can be used as a reference establishing non-enablement of claims 3 and 4.

Conclusion: Claims 1-13 are rejected for the reasons recited above.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 1647

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this

final action.

Advisory information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Sandra Wegert whose telephone number is (571) 272-0895. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 AM to 5:00 PM (Eastern Time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor,

Manjunath Rao, can be reached at (571) 272-0939.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is

571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private

PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you

would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (in USA or CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/SLW/

8 June 2009

/Bridget E Bunner/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1647